

01516833



Rec'd PAT/PTO 04 DEC 2004



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

REC'D 18 NOV 2003

WIPO PCT

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



Signed *[Signature]*

Dated 16 October 2003

**PRIORITY DOCUMENT**  
SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH  
RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

An Executive Agency of the Department of Trade and Industry



14 MAR 03 12:31:17 D02835  
P01/7700 0.00-0305867.4

177

# Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference

SMR/MAW/P550568.R2

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0305867.4

12 MAR 2003

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

MILLIKEN INDUSTRIALS LIMITED  
BEECH HILL PLANT  
GIDLOW LANE  
WIGAN WN6 8RN

Patents ADP number (*if you know it*)

3402364501  
UK

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

4. Title of the invention

MAT

5. Name of your agent (*if you have one*)

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (*including the postcode*)

URQUHART-DYKES & LORD  
Midsummer House  
413 Midsummer Boulevard  
CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES  
MK9 3BN

Patents ADP number (*if you know it*)

1644008

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (*if you know it*) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number  
(*if you know it*)

Date of filing  
(*day / month / year*)

GB

0214103.4

13.06.02.

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing  
(*day / month / year*)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (*Answer 'Yes' if*

YES

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
- b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
- c) any named applicant is a corporate body.

See note (d))

**Patents Form 1/77**

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description	17
Claim(s)	2
Abstract	1
Drawing(s)	2 + 2

CE

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents	-
Translations of priority documents	-
Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent ( <i>Patents Form 7/77</i> )	-
Request for preliminary examination and search ( <i>Patents Form 9/77</i> )	1
Request for substantive examination ( <i>Patents Form 10/77</i> )	-
Any other documents ( <i>please specify</i> )	-

11.

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

*Simon Raynor*

Date

URQUHART-DYKES & LORD

11.03.03.

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

Simon Raynor - 01908 666645

**Warning**

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

**Notes**

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 08459 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

Patents Form 1/77

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

# MAT

## Technical Field

The present invention relates to a mat having a tufted pile textile surface and an elastomer backing. In particular, but not exclusively, the invention relates to a floor mat that is suitable for the commercial and retail (domestic and residential) trade.

## Background to the Invention

Floor mats having a tufted pile textile surface and a rubber backing are very well known and have been manufactured for many years. Typically, such mats include a tufted pile textile surface made for example, of nylon, cotton, polypropylene or a mixture of such fibres, which is heat-bonded under pressure to a rubber backing sheet. A process for manufacturing such mats is described in EP 0 367 441 A. Such mats have very good dust control characteristics, are highly effective at removing dirt and moisture from the feet of pedestrians, and have a good feel and appearance. The mats are also washable, extremely durable and lie flat on the floor.

Dust control mats of the type described above have been extremely successful in the industrial and rental market sectors, where they are used primarily at the entrances to shops, offices and factories. Those mats tend to be owned by a laundry, which rents the mats to its clients and regularly replaces soiled mats with clean mats and returns the soiled mats to the laundry for cleaning.

Rubber backed dust control mats have, however, had less success in the commercial and retail sectors. Such mats are generally owned by the company or individual that owns the premises in which they are used and usually are not regularly washed. In the commercial sector, such mats are often fitted into a mat well in the floor, whereas retail mats are generally sold to private individuals for use in the home, and are often used on top of carpets. In these market sectors,

rubber backed dust control mats have achieved a market penetration of only about 5% of the total mat sales, the remainder of the matting sold into this market sector being either unbacked or backed with PVC or latex.

The main reasons why rubber backed dust control mats have not achieved greater success in the commercial and retail sectors are thought to be (1) the relatively high cost of the product, which results largely from the fact that the rubber backing is much more expensive than a latex or PVC backing, and (2) the fact that, because the tufted pile of the rubber backed mat is crushed during the pressing operation, it is unsuitable for these market sectors as explained below.

The effect of pile crushing does not generally cause a problem in mats intended for the industrial and rental sectors, since these mats are normally laundered before being delivered to the customer. Such laundering has the effect of raising the pile and restoring an attractive appearance. However, mats intended for the retail and commercial sectors are normally not washed before first use, since this adds to the production costs. Further, unwashable labels are often fixed to such mats during the manufacturing process, which makes it impossible to wash the mats prior to sale. A good quality pile with little or no crush is an important factor for success in the commercial mat sector and in the retail sector, where the feel or hand of the product at the point of sale is important. The problem of pile crush is particularly acute with mats having a textile surface that contains polypropylene, which is a favoured fabric for the commercial and retail sectors, owing to its relatively low cost.

The commercial and retail sectors are therefore dominated by PVC backed mats, which are cheaper (because the raw materials are less expensive) and do not suffer pile crush. Pile crush is not a problem because the textile surface is bonded to the PVC backing at a much lower temperature and with little or no pressure. However, PVC backed mats do have a number of disadvantages as compared with rubber backed mats. In particular, the PVC backing has poor flexibility, especially at low temperatures, and after being unrolled such mats often do not lie flat on the floor. They also have an inferior appearance and feel when compared with rubber backed mats, can become brittle with age, and can have poor resistance to movement when placed on top of carpet. There are also growing environmental concerns associated with the manufacture and disposal of PVC backed mats. These disadvantages have, however, been tolerated owing to the lower cost of the mats and the generally lower performance requirements of the commercial and retail sectors.

It is therefore desirable to provide a tufted pile floor mat that is suitable for the retail and/or commercial sectors and that overcomes the performance shortcomings of PVC backed mats and avoids the relatively high cost associated with conventional rubber-backed mats.

Recycled rubber has been used effectively at a low cost substitute for virgin rubber in some mat applications. Such uses have not provided a mat with a tufted pile surface or one that exhibits the superior performance characteristics of the mat disclosed herein. Some examples of such applications are discussed below:

EP 0135595 describes a method for manufacturing a floor covering in the form of a web, which may be used as a sports surface. The web consists of a lower textile base and an upper layer of compressed disintegrated waste rubber and/or granules of new or scrap rubber which has been mixed with a pre-polymer as a solvent-free single-component binder and cured.

DE 4212757 describes a moulded component forming an elastic layer and comprising a mixture of granulated recycled material and binders. The component has three compressed layers of uniform thickness bonded together at their interfaces. Upper and middle layers are formed by flat plates and the lower layer has hump-shaped feet separated by grooves. The individual layers are formed in different materials with different particle sizes. The component can be used as a covering e.g. for floors.

A mat with a compression moulded rubber crumb backing and having a flock surface applied to the backing is available under the brand name "Royal mat". This compression moulded backing is made by mixing rubber crumb with a binder and then compressing a layer of the mixture in a mould at a high pressure while the binder bonds the crumbs together. The flocked textile surface is subsequently applied to the backing using an adhesive.

Compression moulding produces a rubber crumb backing that has a high density and low deformability. This makes the mat heavy and inflexible, with the result that it does not conform well to the shape of the floor beneath it. The flock surface also has inferior dust control properties as compared with a tufted pile. A tufted pile surface is desired for many retail and commercial applications because it has excellent look and feel as well as good dirt and moisture retaining properties. Tufted pile can also be printed to give it a pattern, or it can be tufted into a pattern, both of which are superior to mats using other textile surfaces such as flocked pile, or coir mats.

Throughout this specification the term "crumb" has the normal meaning in the rubber industry of any "broken down" rubber: thus, a crumb of rubber can be any size in a range that includes powder, granules and chips. The term "powder" means crumb that will pass a 2 mm mesh or crumb with a maximum dimension of 2 mm as the context requires. "Granule" means crumb that will pass a 6 mm mesh or crumb with a maximum dimension of 6mm, as the context requires. Granules may include some powder but are generally larger than powder and have a weight average size that is near to the maximum of the size specification for the granule. "Chips" means crumbs that are larger than granules.

Although rubber carpet underlay manufactured from lightly bonded crumb rubber is known, this underlay is made without any significant pressure and as a result it is not sufficiently well bonded to make it durable enough to be used as a mat backing.

Notwithstanding the aforesaid applications, recycled rubber does not have the same performance characteristics as virgin rubber, owing to its lower tear resistance and higher stiffness resulting from the presence of a binder material. As a result, recycled rubber has not generally been thought suitable for use as the backing material for a tufted pile mat, since it has been thought to offer no significant advantages over PVC.

Further, a compression moulding process, as used, for example, to make the backing of the Royal mat, is not suitable for making the backing of a tufted pile mat, since the very high pressures used in that process would result in severe pile crush and a commercially unacceptable product. Although the tufted pile could be added later in a separate process, this would increase production costs, thereby negating the cost reductions resulting from the use of recycled rubber. In any case, the compression moulded mat provides a relatively inflexible backing, a characteristic that gives rise to inferior mat performance in several important areas.

#### Disclosure of the Invention

According to one aspect of the present invention there is provided a mat with a tufted textile pile surface comprising tufts of yarn tufted into a tufting substrate and an elastomer backing, characterized in that the elastomer backing includes elastomer crumbs and a binder.

We have found, surprisingly, that it is possible to make a tufted pile mat having superior performance characteristics with a backing made of rubber crumb and a binder. In particular, we have found that by carefully controlling the pressure in the production process, we can produce a tufted pile mat with a rubber backing that meets or exceeds the performance of a PVC backing, without causing excessive pile crush during the manufacturing process. The mat can be produced in a single process, using relatively inexpensive materials (for example, recycled rubber from old mats), and therefore provides a low cost alternative to conventional rubber-backed, compression moulded, or PVC mats, that provides excellent performance in areas of importance to the commercial and retail sectors.

Further, we have found that a mat according to the present invention provides a number of other unforeseen advantages. For example, the mat is more flexible than both compression moulded rubber backed mats and PVC backed mats, particularly in the latter case at low temperatures. It is also extremely stable when placed on top of carpet, probably because the pile fibres of the carpet are gripped in the numerous small gaps between the crumbs of the rubber backing. It is also relatively light and it has good fire resistance compared to a conventional rubber backed mat.

The process of tufting is well known to those skilled in mat manufacture. The tufting substrate may be of a type commonly used in such construction. Examples include "Polyback", a trade name for a woven polypropylene tufting substrate and "Colback" is a trade name for a spun bonded polyester tufting substrate. The elastomer is preferably rubber, and more preferably nitrile rubber. This backing material provides better performance than PVC at a cost that may be less than PVC and without the environmental concerns associated with PVC. Nitrile rubber is a term used to describe a compounded rubber mixture of which the main polymeric content is an acrylonitrile butadiene copolymer. It may also contain fillers such as carbon black, a curing

system, plasticisers and other ancillary components. This backing material provides better performance than PVC at a cost that may be less than PVC and without the environmental concerns associated with PVC.

Advantageously, the elastomer crumb backing exhibits a deformability from at least 14% as measured by the test herein defined. Preferably the deformability is 14 to 50%, more preferably 14 to 25%.

Advantageously, the elastomer backing has a bulk density in the range 45 to 70%, preferably 55 to 70%, of the elastomer from which the crumbs are made.

Advantageously, the backing has a density of less than  $1\text{g/cm}^3$ . The backing preferably has a density in the range  $0.5$  to  $0.9\text{g/cm}^3$ , more preferably  $0.7$  to  $0.9\text{g/cm}^3$ .

The mat backing preferably has a thickness of at least 1 mm. The crumb size preferably may be within the range of about 0.8mm to about 6mm, with crumb sizes less than about 5 mm diameter being generally preferred. More specifically, crumb sizes within the range of about 2mm to about 4mm, and preferably sizes of about 3mm or less, have been found to be particularly advantageous for use. The choice of crumb size to be used, and the relative percentage of powder used, if any, depends somewhat upon the desired performance aspects of the mat and the desired manufacturing cost. Because use of small crumb (say, for example, powder less than about 1mm) tends to require increased use of binder and thereby increased manufacturing cost, limiting use of crumb to small granules and large powder (e.g., crumb within the range of about 1mm to about 4mm, or, preferably, predominantly crumb within the range of about 2mm to about 3mm) may be preferred if minimizing manufacturing cost is important.

The invention may further provide a mat having an elastomer crumb backing including elastomer crumb and a binder characterised in that the elastomer crumb backing includes powdered elastomer crumb. The use of powdered crumb increases the strength of the resulting backing, and generates a smoother appearance, but increases the cost of production, both in the need for additional grinding and in the need for the use of additional binder. Accordingly, the amount of powdered crumb can be adjusted to suit the needs of the product; typically, including powder of at least 10% by weight has been used. The elastomer crumb is preferably at least partially comprised of crumbed vulcanized rubber. The rubber is preferably nitrile rubber. The elastomer crumb may include a combination of crumb sizes in addition to the powdered elastomer crumb.

The binder may be comprised of any of several different materials. For example, the binder may be a polyurethane MDI binder. Preferably it is selected from the group consisting of 4,4-methylene di-p-phenylene isocyanate (MDI) polyurethane one- and two-component adhesives.



Advantageously the binder is a solvent-free, one component (moisture curing) polyurethane adhesive. Such binder may be present at a level of from 4 to 12%. Alternatively the binder may be a hot melt binder and is desirably present at a level of from 3 to 10%. When powdered elastomer crumb is included in the backing and the binder is a one component polyurethane adhesive, the binder level preferably lies in the range 9 to 20%, as may be determined by experimentation.

The backing may include powdered or liquid additives selected from the group consisting of: anti-microbial additives, anti-flammability additives, pigments, such as iron oxide, and anti-static additives, such as carbon fibres. This provides added functionality to the mat.

- 10 In another preferred aspect of the invention there is provided a mat having a textile surface and crumb rubber backing characterised in that a crumb rubber border extending beyond the periphery of the textile surface is provided on at least two opposite edges of the mat. The crumb rubber border may be provided around the entire periphery of the mat.

- In a still further preferred aspect of the invention there is an unwashed textile surfaced rubber backed mat characterised in that the textile surface has a sand retention value as hereinafter defined of at least 1000 g/m<sup>2</sup>. The mat has a sand retention value of at least twice that of the same unwashed pile surface on a mat having a vulcanized rubber backing.

- The invention may also extend to a mat having an elastomer crumb backing comprising elastomer crumbs and binder characterised in that the binder is a hot melt binder. Again the elastomer is preferably rubber and most preferably nitrile rubber. The hot melt binder is advantageously selected from the group comprising polyurethane reactive hotmelts, copolyester or copolyamide reactive and thermoplastic hotmelts. The level of hotmelt binder is preferably from 3 to 10% by weight of the backing.

- Often, commercial or retail floor mats are backed with PVC. The advantage of this mat backed with elastomer crumb is that it is similar in cost of production to PVC and has superior appearance and feel to PVC. Furthermore, it has much better low temperature flexibility than PVC, which means that the rubber crumb backed mats lie better on the floor than PVC backed mats. They are also less liable to become brittle with age than PVC backed mats. In tests, we found that the crumb rubber backed mats remained sufficiently flexible to unroll and lie flat immediately after being removed from storage at minus 16°C. Mats according to the invention also unroll more easily than PVC mats at higher temperatures and tend to give off less of a distinctive odour of rubber than conventional vulcanized rubber mats. The ability to roll up is very important for commercial mats as they can be as long as 25 m and often are over 6 m long. It is also important for retail mats as they are frequently sold in rolled up form to enable

the larger sizes to be carried home. The lie flatness or amount of rippling of the mat edges after both mats had been rolled up was visibly superior in the mat according to the invention.

The invention will now be further described by way of example only and with reference to the drawings, which are briefly described as follows:

5 Figure 1 is a cross-sectional side elevation of a mat;

Figure 2 is a top plan view of the mat;

Figure 3 is an enlarged partial bottom view of the mat; and

Figure 4 is a side elevation of a press for manufacturing the mat.

With reference to figures 1 and 2, a mat is shown with a tufted pile upper textile surface 1 bonded to a nitrile rubber crumb backing 2. The textile surface 1 is slightly smaller than the backing, leaving a rubber crumb border 3 that extends around the periphery of the mat. Alternatively, the border strips may be omitted entirely or two border strips may be provided on opposite sides of the mat, with no borders at the ends of the mat. This latter construction is preferred for rolls or matting. The width of the mat and the other dimensions may be any of those conventionally used for commercial or retail mats or any other suitable dimensions. For mats with a low backing thickness, it is advantageous for the textile surface to cover the whole upper surface of the backing. For aesthetic reasons, such mats are often provided with what is termed an "optical border", which is a dark printed area around the periphery of the mat.

The textile surface 1 includes a tufted pile, which is tufted onto a substrate (or primary backing), for example of woven or non-woven polyester or polypropylene. The tufted pile can be cut, looped or both, and typically consists of cut pile. Suitable textile materials include polypropylene, nylon, cotton, blends thereof and any other fibres or yarns that can be tufted into a tufting substrate to form a pile surface. The yarn may be solution dyed or the mats may be printed during or after manufacture.

Figure 3 shows the rubber crumb backing 2 in more detail. It generally comprises a series of rubber crumbs 6 bonded together with a binder (not shown), which bonds each crumb to the adjacent crumbs. The binder also bonds the backing 2 to the textile surface 1. A plurality of voids 7 exist between the rubber crumbs, which may be partially or fully filled with the binder. Owing to the presence of the voids, the bulk density of the backing layer is less than the density of the solid rubber material of which the crumbs are composed, and is typically about 45%-70% of the solid rubber density.

Generally, any batch of granules will include a distribution of granule sizes, the average granule size being significantly less than the maximum that will pass through the mesh. For example, we have found when using a 4mm mesh that the majority of the granules lie in the range 1 to 3mm (i.e. they will pass through a 3mm mesh but not a 1mm mesh). Further, it should be noted  
 5 that the granules tend to be irregular in shape and often have a thickness that is considerably less than the nominal granule size. Thus, with the compaction that occurs during the pressing process, we have found that a backing layer can be made using granules having a nominal size larger than the thickness of the backing layer.

- The crumb is preferably nitrile rubber, and is preferably rubber from recycled industrial mats.
- 10 The rental industrial segment is an ideal source of raw material for the crumb because it ensures that low bleed, low staining nitrile rubber crumb is used as the starting point for the production of the mats of the invention. The crumb may include some flock from the textile surface of the original mat, perhaps in bonding relationship to the crumb. The flock content should preferably be as low as possible, most preferably less than 10% by weight.
  - 15 The crumb size may range from about 0.01 to 8 mm. Generally, the size is selected to be as large as possible for the use and properties required. However, crumb larger than granules (i.e. larger than about 6mm) may be regarded as excessively granular, and crumb that is smaller than about 0.8mm may be regarded as excessively costly (both in terms of supply and increased binder requirements). Generally, it has been found that crumb within the range of  
 20 about 2mm to about 4mm is preferred. Specifically, crumb that passes a 4mm aperture screen (i.e. crumb predominantly of about 3mm or less) has been found to be particularly useful for floor mats. In accordance with the teachings herein, powder (i.e. crumb less than 2mm in size) may be used as desired. Crumb size can be chosen to give different amounts of resilience in the mat. We have found that larger crumbs provide greater resilience.
  - 25 Crumb may be mixed with powder of the same material or a different material to provide a greater tear resistance. We have found that the powder increases the tensile strength for a given binder level. The use of other additives in powdered or liquid form may provide the same or different advantages. Suitable additives include, but are not limited to, anti-microbial materials, anti-flammability additives, odorants, colorants or pigments such as iron oxide  
 30 powder, anti-static additives such as carbon fibres, fillers and other generally known additives.

The binder may be either a heat setting or thermoplastic type. Depending on the process utilized to manufacture the backing, the binder can be in liquid or powder form. Preferably, the binder is selected from one of the following types: polyurethane reactive hotmelts, copolyester or copolyamide reactive and thermoplastic hotmelts, 4,4-methylene di-p-phenylene isocyanate  
 35 (MDI) polyurethane one- and two-component adhesives.

It is important that the binder has good adhesive properties to ensure that the crumb is well bound, and that sufficient free binder is provided to be capable of forming a physical or chemical bond to the textile surface. The binder should also exhibit sufficient cohesive strength to give the backing sufficient strength. The binder is one that cures or sets at a sufficiently low temperature and pressure that pile crush is substantially avoided.

The binder may contain any of the known cross linkers or curing accelerators to suit the process and the desired properties of the mat being manufactured and the rubber being used.

The binder performs the dual function of holding together the crumb backing and bonding the backing to the textile surface of the mat. To perform both functions adequately we have found that binder levels should be in the range 2 to 12% by weight of the crumbs when chips or granules are used. Use of less than 2% binder gives a very poor tensile strength in the backing. Use of greater than 12% gives a stiff backing and causes a skin to form. When rubber crumb powder is added to the backing, the amount of binder needed for optimal properties is greater due to the higher surface area of the rubber crumb powder on a weight for weight basis. For powders, especially finer rubber crumb powders of size less than 0.5mm, the quantity of binder should lie in the range 9 to 20%, depending upon the size and quantity of the powder added. Because the powder addition increases the tensile strength, inclusion of a little powder can improve the strength of the backing without increasing the binder content excessively.

Generally, there is an inverse relationship between the binder content and size of the rubber crumb, and between the binder content and pressure applied to the rubber crumb while forming the backing layer. Therefore, as the crumb size and the pressure increase, the binder content decreases. The binder content also depends on other factors, such as the type of binder, the rubber material used and the type of fabric, and can be determined by routine experimentation.

For example, the binder may be a liquid polyurethane MDI binder, in which case it is preferably present at a level of from 4 to 12% if the backing consists primarily of chips or granules. The binder may contain further additives that are in liquid form and are compatible with the binder, such as colorants, plasticisers and perfumes. The binder may also contain other additives, such as those listed as crumb additives, provided that they are suitable for addition in a liquid medium.

The binder may alternatively be a thermoplastic or thermosetting hot melt powder, in which case it is preferably present at a level from 3 to 10% if the backing consists primarily of chips or granules. A powdered binder may also contain other additives such as those listed as crumb additives, provided that they are suitable for addition in a powder medium.

The preferred ranges for binder content may thus be summarized as follows:

Backing of chips/granules: binder content in range 2 to 12%, preferably 4 to 12% with an MDI binder or 3 to 10% with a hot melt binder.

Backing with  $\geq 10\%$  powder: binder content in range 9 to 20%, preferably 14% or more.

Examples of mat products according to the invention are given in Table 1.

5

Table 1 - Example Products

Property	Tufted polypropylene fabric on rubber powder backing	Tufted nylon on rubber granule backing with printed optical borders
Textile Type	Polypropylene	Nylon 6
Weight	500 gm <sup>-2</sup>	600 gm <sup>-2</sup>
Method	Tufted	Tufted
Tufting substrate	80 gm <sup>-2</sup> Polyback	140 gm <sup>-2</sup> Colback
Backing Material (screen size)	0.8mm "Powder"	3mm "Granule"
Thickness	1.5 mm	4.0 mm
Weight	2000 gm <sup>-2</sup>	3000 gm <sup>-2</sup>
Total Weight	2580 gm <sup>-2</sup>	3740 gm <sup>-2</sup>
Borders	15 mm	None

gm<sup>-2</sup> is grams per square meter

A process for making the mat of figures 1-3 will now be described with reference to figure 4, which is a schematic exploded cross-section of a mat as laid up in a press during manufacture. The press 9 includes a heated metal platen 10, above which there is an inflatable diaphragm 10 12, mounted on a frame 14. The frame 14 may be either fixed or moveable, depending on whether the press has a fixed or variable opening aperture. A conveyor belt 16 made, for example, of PTFE-coated woven glass fabric extends around and beyond the heated platen 10, allowing laid up mats to be transferred in and out of the press 9.

The lay up consists of the following items placed in order on the conveyor belt 16. First, a 15 mixture of rubber crumb, binder and any other required additives is made up and spread evenly onto the conveyor belt 16, forming a loose crumb layer 18 having a thickness, a width and a length that are slightly greater than the required dimensions of the finished backing layer 2. The binder may, for example, be a hot melt powder, in which case the amount of binder typically varies between 3% and 10% by weight, depending on the size of the crumbs and the amount 20 and type of any optional additives.

A die cut fabric layer 20 of tufted pile textile on a suitable substrate is placed on top of the loose crumb layer 18; the length and width of the fabric layer preferably should be slightly less than the equivalent dimensions of the loose crumb layer if a rubber border is required. The laid up mat is then covered with a release sheet 22 of PTFE-coated woven glass fabric, to prevent the 25 rubber backing sheet 2 sticking to the diaphragm 12.

The press is heated to a temperature of about 125°C. The conveyor belt 16 is advanced to position the laid up mat between the platen 10 and the diaphragm 12, and the diaphragm 12 is then inflated, for example, to a pressure of about 4 pounds per square inch, to press the laid up mat against the heated platen 10, typically for a cycle time of 10 minutes.

- 5 The heat from the platen 10 activates the binder, thereby binding the rubber crumbs together and forming the backing layer 2. At the same time, the pressure from the diaphragm 12 slightly compresses the backing layer 2 to ensure a good bond between the granules, and presses the fabric layer 1 against the backing layer 2, allowing the binder to bond the two layers together.

- 10 After completion of the pressing process, the diaphragm 12 is deflated and the rubber backed mat is removed from the press 9 and allowed to cool. The release sheet 22 is then removed and, if necessary, the edges of the rubber backing layer 2 are trimmed, for example using any suitable knife or other cutting means, for example, a guillotine.

- We have found that by using the process described above, the problem of pile crush can be substantially avoided. This is because the press operates at a much lower pressure (typically, 4  
15 psig) and temperature (about 125°C) than is usual in a conventional mat press, which typically operates at a pressure of 20 to 40 psig or higher and at temperatures above 160°C. The lower pressure and temperature are possible because the rubber crumb is already vulcanised and the heat and pressure only have to be sufficient to activate the binder and press the granules together so that they bond to one another and to the fabric layer. In a conventional mat making,  
20 process, a much higher pressure and temperature is required to soften and cure the vulcanised rubber backing, and to press the fabric layer into the backing.

The mats provide a higher level of comfort and are lighter than compression moulded mats, which have high-density backings. The inventive mat is suited to the retail and commercial segments.

## 25 Example

- A batch of 0.8 to 3mm rubber crumb was made up by granulating a sheet of vulcanized nitrile rubber in a granulator with a 3mm screen and then passing the granules over a 0.8mm screen to remove fines smaller than 0.8mm. The granules were then mixed with 8% MDI binder. The mixture was divided up and sample rubber mat backings were made by spreading the crumb  
30 mixture evenly with a thickness of 8 mm and then pressing the mixture using an air bag press at various pressures to produce a series of samples. The pressure ranged from no pressure in the air bag through to 45 psig. All the rubber backing samples so formed were set or pressed at 125°C for 10 minutes. A 25mm square section was then cut from each sample and its thickness and weight were measured. From this, the density of the sample and the bulk density

of the rubber crumb layer was determined, expressed as a percentage of the density of the material from which the crumb was made. The data are given in Table 2.

Table 2 -Density Test Data

Sample	Pressure psig	Thickness mm	Thickness %	Weight g	Volume cm <sup>3</sup>	Density g/cm <sup>3</sup>	Bulk density %
A	0	8.1	100	2.8	5.06	0.55	45
B	2	6.2	78	2.8	3.87	0.72	59
C	4	5.4	68	2.6	3.37	0.77	63
D	8	5.4	68	2.9	3.37	0.86	70
E	16	5.0	63	3.2	3.12	1.02	84
F	32	4.3	54	2.8	2.68	1.04	85
G	45	3.9	49	2.7	2.43	1.11	91
Royal		7.3		5.0	4.56	1.10	90
Rubber						1.22	100

- 5 The sample referred to as "Royal" is the backing layer of the prior art "Royal" mat referred to previously, which is formed by compression moulding rubber crumb in a fixed platen press to form a very dense backing. The sample referred to as "Rubber" is a solid vulcanized rubber backing of a conventional floor mat.

It can be seen from Table 2 that the density increases as the pressure applied increases. The maximum density achieved was 1.11 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, equivalent to a bulk density that is 91% of the density of the solid rubber backing. Theoretically, if the backing sample were 100% compressed to remove all voids the density would be about 1.22 g/cm<sup>3</sup> to match that of the solid rubber backing of the conventional vulcanized mat referred to as "Rubber". From the table, it can be seen that the density of the compression moulded product (the "Royal" mat) is about the same as the density we obtained using a 45 psi pressure.

Table 2 also gives a general view of the backing thickness reduction from around 8 mm before to around 4 to 6mm after pressing, representing a reduction of up to 50% of its original thickness.

The samples were then tested to determine their deformability. This test is done with a thickness gauge with a 10mm diameter foot. Weight is applied to the measuring plunger of the thickness gauge. First the new backing thickness is measured with 60g weight and then the thickness is re-measured with a 800g weight. The deformability is the percentage fall in the thickness at 60g loading when the loading pressure is increased to 800g. The results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3 - Deformability Test Data

Sample ID	Pressure	60 g	800 g	Deformability %
A	0	7.65	4.0	47.7
B	2	5.70	4.3	24.6
C	4	5.10	4.2	17.6
D	8	5.30	4.55	14.2
E	16	4.80	4.3	10.4
F	32	4.15	3.75	9.6
G	45	3.70	3.5	5.4
Royal		7.45	7.05	5.4
Rubber		6.10	5.6	8.2

From Table 2 it can be seen that there is a correlation between formation pressure and density, and from Table 3 it can also be seen that there is a correlation between pressure (density) and deformability. This correlation may be expressed as follows: the higher the formation pressure, the higher the density, and the higher the density, the lower the deformability.

In Tables 2 and 3, the sample referred to as "Rubber" is a commercially available vulcanised rubber backed industrial mat. The vulcanized rubber is softer and therefore more deformable than the high pressure crumb backings because of the presence of binder in the latter. The binder material is relatively hard, compared with vulcanized rubber, and this reduces the flexibility of the backing.



In the mat made by compression moulding (the "Royal" mat); the bulk density of the mat backing was about 90% of the density of the material from which the crumb was made and is usually in the range of 80-95%. The backing is harder than the material from which the crumb was made because of the presence of the binder.

- 5 On the other hand, using the process according to the invention, the bulk density of the backing can be varied to lie within 45-90% of the density of the material from which the crumb was made. Preferably, the bulk density of the backing is made to lie within 45-70%, and more preferably within 55-70%, of the density of the crumb material. This provides a backing with a deformability of about 14-50%, more preferably 14-25%, and offers the advantage of a lighter
- 10 weight mat which is more easily washed and dried and which is easier to carry and transport, whilst still being a rubber backed mat. This also has the advantage that product density can be varied by a minor process change to enable production flexibility. Additives could also be included in the crumb and binder mix to further control or change the density if required.

#### Pile Crush Test

- 15 For this test, we made a number of mat samples, each including a tufted pile surface and a rubber crumb backing made using the same pressing temperature, time and pressure values as set out in Table 2. The extent of pile crush and the commercial acceptability of the different mat samples were then assessed subjectively. We found that for pressures of about 8psig and lower, pile crush was relatively minor and the product was commercially acceptable. However,
- 20 at pressures above about 8psig, significant pile crush occurred and the product was frequently considered commercially unacceptable without subsequently being laundered or processed in some other way to raise the pile. The preferred mats are therefore those manufactured at a pressure of about 8psig or less, which have backings with a bulk density in the range 45-70% of the density of the solid rubber material from which the rubber crumb is derived. The best mats
- 25 were those manufactured at pressures of 2-8psig, which have a bulk density in the range of about 55-70%.

#### Low Temperature Recovery Test.

- For this test a 300 mm x 200 mm sample of each product to be tested was conditioned at a room temperature of 22°C for 2 hours and then rolled lengthwise around a 40mm diameter tube
- 30 and secured with a cable tie. The samples were then placed in a freezer and kept at a temperature of -16°C for 24 hours. The samples were removed from the freezer, the cable tie was cut and the samples were then left at 22°C for 10 minutes to relax on a flat, wood surface. Measurements were then made of the height, if any, of the ends of the mat samples above the flat surface due to the curl of the sample. Repeat measurements were made at 20 minutes and
  - 35 60 minutes following removal from the freezer.

Table 4 shows the results of the above test when comparing: a conventionally backed nitrile rubber mat (A), a commercially available mat backed with PVC (B), a prior art rubber crumb backed mat formed by compression molding in a fixed platen press to form a very dense backing (the "Royal" mat), and a mat according to the invention backed with granulated nitrile rubber crumb (D).

Table 4

Time	Prior art mats			Inventive mat
	A: Regular rubber backed mat	B: PVC backed mat	C: Compression moulded mat	D: Rubber crumb backed mat
+10 minutes	Flat (0mm)	37mm	50mm	10mm
+20 minutes	Flat (0mm)	7mm	6mm	Flat (0mm)
+60 minutes	Flat (0mm)	2mm	2mm	Flat (0mm)

The mat according to the invention outperforms both the PVC backed mat and the compression moulded rubber crumb mat and it is not significantly different in performance from a high specification conventional rubber backed mat.

#### 10 Sand Retention Test.

For this test two equal size samples of a mat (0.05781 m<sup>2</sup>) were cut out. Each sample is weighed. They are then fixed to the inside of a tetrapod chamber. A tetrapod is a known piece of testing equipment used to measure wear of carpeting and the like. 1000 g of dry sand with a particle size distribution as shown in Table 5 was added along with five golf balls to provide 15 agitation.

Table 5

Particle size mm	Weight %
0.00 - 0.25	7.0
0.25 - 0.50	71.0
0.50 - 0.71	15.7
0.71 - 1.00	3.5
1.00 - 2.00	1.7
2.00 - 2.80	0.16
2.80 - 4.00	0.02
4.00 - 6.70	0
6.70 and above	0

The chamber was then sealed to prevent either the golf balls or the sand leaking out during the test and it was set revolving for 1000 revolutions. On completion of the test, each sample was removed and the weight increase of the sample recorded. The amount of sand retained in each sample was then calculated and expressed as the amount of dry sand retained in g/m<sup>2</sup>.

- 5 Two mats with identical pile construction were subjected to this test.

The first mat was a tufted nylon cut pile conventional rubber backed mat which had been fabricated in an air bag press at 165°C and 30 psi. The mat was not washed prior to the test.

The second mat was a tufted nylon cut pile with a rubber crumb backing which had also been fabricated in an air bag press but at a lower temperature and pressure. The sand retention

- 10 results were as follows:

Sample of prior art conventional rubber backed mat A: weight increase = 723 g/m<sup>2</sup>

Sample of crumb rubber backed mat according to the invention mat B: weight increase = 2655 g/m<sup>2</sup>

- 15 Production of a conventional rubber backed mat significantly flattens the pile on the mat. The lower temperature and pressure possible when using the same type of press (with a pressurised air bag and a heated platen) to produce a rubber crumb-backed, textile pile-faced mat according to the invention results in a mat that does not suffer significantly from pile crush. This gives a mat having, immediately after manufacture, good dust control properties without being laundered. This good dust control performance is exemplified by a sand retention value
- 20 in excess of 2000 g/m<sup>2</sup> for the typical nylon tufted pile used in this test. The sand retention performance for a mat according to the invention is far superior to the sand retention performance of the rubber-backed tufted nylon cut pile mat, prior to washing. The uncrushed pile also exhibits better "feel" by way of a more luxurious texture.

- The mats according to the invention exhibit superior fire resistance to those made from
- 25 conventional nitrile rubber backing of the same thickness. When tested according to BS4790, mats made from powder nitrile rubber crumb and granule nitrile rubber crumb showed significantly higher resistance to ignition when compared to a mat made from conventional nitrile rubber backing. This is recorded in Table 6.

Table 6

	Regular mat with solid rubber backing	Mat with crumb granule backing
Time to extinguish (s)	170	50
Radius of effects top (mm)	50	25
Radius of effects lower (mm)	50	25

This can be further improved by the addition of more binder and/or anti-flammability additives to the backing and is especially useful when used in conjunction with a low flammability textile surface. Such a low flammability textile surface may, for example, be one that is predominantly a woollen construction.

The backing of the mat may be made water impermeable. This is particularly important for a commercial mat that is to be laid in a mat well, and is achieved by appropriate selection of crumb size binder quantity and backing density.

- 10 When compared with PVC backed mats, the mats of the invention that have 4mm or larger crumb rubber in the backing have better resistance to movement on carpets. On average, these mats according to the invention display significantly improved resistance to movement on carpets compared with PVC backed mats.

Other mats can also be made according to the invention including, for example, foam sandwich  
15 mats.

## Claims

1. A mat with a tufted pile textile surface comprising tufts of yarn tufted into a tufting substrate and an elastomer backing, characterised in that the elastomer backing includes elastomer crumbs and a binder.
2. A mat according to claim 1 wherein the elastomer crumb is crumbed vulcanized rubber.
3. A mat according to claim 2 wherein the rubber is nitrile rubber.
4. A mat according to any preceding claim wherein the elastomer backing has a bulk density less than the solid density of the elastomer crumb material.
5. A mat according to claim 4, characterised in that the elastomer backing has a bulk density in the range 45 to 70%, preferably 55 to 70%, of the solid density of the elastomer crumb material.
6. A mat according to any preceding claim, characterised in that the backing has a density of less than  $1\text{g/cm}^3$ .
7. A mat according to claim 6, characterised in that the backing has a density in the range  $0.5$  to  $0.9\text{g/cm}^3$ , preferably  $0.7$  to  $0.9\text{g/cm}^3$ .
8. A mat according to any preceding claim, characterised in that the elastomer backing exhibits a deformability, as measured by the test herein defined, of at least 14%, preferably 14 to 50%, more preferably 14 to 25%.
9. A mat according to any preceding claim in which the backing has a thickness of at least 1 mm.
10. A mat according to any preceding claim in which the crumb size is less than 5 mm diameter and is preferably substantially in the range 2 to 4 mm.
11. A mat according to any preceding claim in which the elastomer crumb backing includes at least 10% by weight powdered elastomer crumb.
12. A mat according to any preceding claim in which the binder is present at a level of from 2 to 20%.
13. A mat according to claim 12, in which the elastomer crumb backing includes less than 1% by weight powdered elastomer crumb and the binder is present at a level of from 2 to 12%.




14. A mat according to claim 12, wherein the elastomer crumb backing includes at least 10% by weight powdered elastomer crumb and the binder level lies in the range 9 to 20%, preferably about 14%.
15. A mat according to any preceding claim in which the binder is a polyurethane MDI binder.
16. A mat according to claim 15 in which the binder is selected from the group consisting of 4,4-methylene di-p-phenylene isocyanate (MDI) polyurethane one- and two-component adhesives.
17. A mat according to claim 16 in which the binder is a solvent free one component polyurethane adhesive.
18. A mat according to any one of claims 1 to 14 in which the binder is a hot melt binder.
19. A mat according to any preceding claim in which the backing includes powdered additives selected from the group consisting of anti-microbial additives, anti-flammability additives, pigments, such as iron oxide, and anti-static additives, such as carbon fibres.
20. A mat according to any preceding claim, characterised in that a crumb rubber border extending beyond the periphery of the textile surface is provided on at least two opposite edges of the mat.
21. A mat according to claim 20 comprising a crumb rubber border extending around the entire periphery of the mat.
22. A mat according to any preceding claim, characterised in that the unwashed textile surface has a sand retention value of at least 1000 g/m<sup>2</sup>.
23. A mat according to any preceding claim which is a floor mat.

## ABSTRACT

MAT

A mat with a tufted textile pile surface comprises tufts of yarn tufted into a tufting substrate and an elastomer backing layer. The elastomer backing layer includes elastomer crumbs and a binder.

1/2



BEST AVAILABLE COPY



2/2




Fig. 4

BEST AVAILABLE COPY